Correction:
As Bernhard Schwarz pointed out to me, the way how the meaning of the protasis is handled in definitions like (19) is incorrect, that is, the part

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathrm{h} \mid\{\mathrm{g}\}+\alpha=\mathrm{g} \& \mathrm{~h}\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sentence like
(2) $\{\mathrm{g}\}+\left[a^{m a n} 1\right]=\{\mathrm{g} \& \mathrm{~h}\}$
can be satisfied only if there is exactly one man in the model. If there is more than a man, then $\{\mathrm{g}\}+\left[\mathrm{aman}_{1}\right]$ will not be a singleton set.

The part (1) should be replaced by:

$$
\{\mathrm{h} \mid\{\mathrm{g}\}+\alpha=\{\mathrm{k} \mid \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{g} \& \mathrm{~h}\}\}
$$

This ensures that h is only defined for the indices that are introduced in $\alpha$.

I am sorry for the inconvenience.

